

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. This is truly an important matter affecting the way in which we conduct our everyday business. I

AUG 11 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 8 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

Aug 09 2003 09:48:53 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Dionne-Headen
3165 Crain Hwy
Waldorf, MD 20603-4847



Aug 09 2003 09:46:46 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Yost
24125 Drake Rd.
Farmington, MI 48335-3108

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 9 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

William Prieto
701 E. Harvard St. Unit 13
Glendale, CA 91205-1175

AUG 11 2003



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Emily Craig
Broker Associate
10 North Street
Wappingers Falls, NY 12590-1540

AUG 11 2003



August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Alvin E. Caquelard
102 E 8th St.
Tifton, GA 31794-4002



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Wanda Monge
6542 creek run drive
centreville, VA 20121-3865

AUG 11 2003



Aug 09 2003 18:38:32 Via Fax

-> FCC/USEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Griffis
3412 Locust Ave.
Missouri Valley, IA 51555-6503

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Robyn Squire
2034 W. Barry Ave
Chicago, IL 60618-8215

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Dionne-Headen
3165 Crain Hwy
Waldorf, MD 20603-4847



Aug 09 2003 16:32:35 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Bernhard Guenther
20 Carson Rd.
Budd Lake, NJ 07828-3505

AUG 11 2003



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Chris Read
1212 Elizabeth Ave
Naperville, IL 60540-5710

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FCC - MAILROOM

August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

DeAnne DeHaan
8 W. State Rd.
Newaygo, MI 49337-8128



August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

L. Noon
10231 Telegraph Road
Glen Allen, VA 23059-4561

AUG 11 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FCC - MAILROOM

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marcia Franks
130 Dallas Boulevard
Franklin, TN 37064-4910

AUG 11 2003



Aug 09 2003 16:47:01 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 OF 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Connie Scott
750 S. Service Road
Sullivan, MO 63080-1560



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Gary K. Hirakawa
840 Gomes Court
Folsom, CA 95630-8810

AUG 11 2003



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Sheila Shuman
9205 Captiva Circle
St. Pete Beach, FL 33706-1355

AUG 11 2003



Aug 09 2003 10:51:25 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Dee Hays
RE/MAX Premier Properties I
5501 Independence Pkwy.
Plano, TX 75023

Sincerely,

Joe Martins
5501 Independence Pkwy #105
Plano, TX 75023, TX 75023-5444

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Martwick
1370 20th Avenue SW
MINOT, ND 58701

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Gisela Augustin
1609 Willoughby Park
Wilmington, NC 28412-6110

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Monty Newman
1203 Zuni
Hobbs, NM 88240

AUG 11 2003



August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Ray Pugel
PO Box 189
Pine, AZ 85544-0189

AUG 11 2003



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Pasala
9618 W. Franklin Ave.
Franklin Park, IL 60131-2704

AUG 11 2003

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

FCC - MAILROOM

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Mike Grim
Associate Broker
3401 Noble
Richmond & Mechanicsville, VA 23222-1831

AUG 11 2003



Aug 09 2003 09:38:03 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 07, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.


Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Helen MacArthur
705 Uluhea Way
Wailuku, HI 96793-1583



Aug 09 2003 09:36:28 Via Fax

-> FCC/OSEC 2024180187 1 TO Dear Ms. Marle Page 001 Of 001

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers' ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

JIM CASSIDY

2932 WEST GERMANTOWN PIKE P O BOX 981
WORCESTER, PA 19490-0981

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

~~AUG 8 2003~~

FCC - MAILROOM

August 06, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.



Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Jackie Jaravata
202 Darwin Ln
No Brunswick, NJ 08902-4216



August 09, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.


It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter. It seems on the brief glance to apply to unsolicited advertising, yet in truth it could severely hinder our ability to communicate with our clients in a timely manner, which is essential in our business. This is my concern.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Schwarz
6040 174th Ave SE
Bellevue, WA 98006-6008

AUG 11 2003



August 08, 2003

Dear Ms. Marlene S. Dortch:

RE: CG Docket No. 02-278

As member of the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS® (NAR), I am writing to convey my wholehearted support for NAR's Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules in the above referenced docket. The FCC's unexpected decision to remove the established business relationship exception from the unsolicited fax advertisements rules severely interferes with my day-to-day business as a real estate professional. Furthermore, the rules hinder the types of communication that my national, state and local REALTOR® associations routinely send via facsimile, including information on conventions or continuing education classes, which is a benefit that I expect as a dues paying member.

NAR in its Request for Emergency Stay of Facsimile Advertisement Rules has outlined the detrimental impact that these rules will have on its over 900,000 members and on the operations of over 3.5 million professionals in the real estate industry. I echo NAR's position and emphasize that it will be extremely difficult to obtain the required written consent to send faxes to my customers, vendors and real estate colleagues in the timeframe set by the FCC. It will also be difficult for my local board, state association, and national association to seek and obtain written consent from their respective membership in less than thirty days, in order to prevent an interruption in their ability to fax important information about upcoming conferences, promotions and other events. As a REALTOR® member, I rely on this timely and effective means of communication. It is unreasonable to think that an association can seek and obtain consent from almost 1 million members by August 25, 2003.

Today, there are over 2 million U.S. homes in the sales pipeline. Communicating via facsimiles is instrumental to ensuring a timely and successful transaction. An effective date of August 25, 2003, can potentially delay the majority of these transactions. To secure the appropriate written consent will significantly impact homebuyers and home sellers ability to move promptly in highly competitive housing markets. It will take time to adapt to this new requirement; businesses will have to amend current practices to gain this new consent from consumers and vendors.

It is in this regard that I urge the FCC to stay the effective date of the unanticipated and unprecedented fax rules for one year so that the required written consent form can be incorporated into the annual dues billing cycle. This is the most effective method for providing express written consent to NAR and my state and local REALTOR® associations. The stay is also essential in order to institute the required compliance procedures for fax communications with my clients and other businesses to ensure minimal disruptions in real estate transactions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Rexiene Reynolds
3141 E. 85th. St.
Tulsa, OK 74137-1434

AUG 11 2003

